

The Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics





### **Global Climate Modeling at the Abdus Salam International Centre for Theoretical Physics**

### **Fred Kucharski**

All presented results with contributions from Filippo Giorgi, Annalisa Bracco, Jin-Ho Yoo, Franco Molteni, Martin P. King, Erika Coppola and Juergen Kroeger

### **Outline:**

Talk will present an overview over the global modeling activities at the ICTP

1. Uncoupled AGCM integrations (2-tier) to understand Climate Variability in the 20<sup>th</sup> century and its potential changes in the 21<sup>st</sup> century

2. Regionally coupled/pacemaker experiments (1.5-tier) for Asian Monsoon

**3. Conclusions** 

# i. 2-Tier approach

### 1) AGCM uncoupled simulation (ICTP AGCM 8 lev):

Simulation of 20th century variability in 50-yr and 130-yr in ensembles forced globally by HadISST (1870-2002), in the context of the "Climate of the 20th Century" (C20C) project. 25 members of 130-year integrations and 100 members of 50-year integrations.

2) Climate change simulations with the high resolution NASA FVGCM

### **ICTP AGCM stand-alone model: GCM of intermediate complexity**

- **Given Spectral dynamical core (Held and Suarez 1994)**
- □ Truncation currently at T30 (~3.75x3.75 degrees)
- **5**, 7 or (recently) 8 vertical levels
- Variables: Vor, Div, T, log(ps) and Q
- Physical parameterizations of Convection (mass flux) Large-scale condensation (RH criterion) Clouds (diagnosed) Short-wave radiation (two spectral bands) Long-wave radiation (four spectral bands) Surface fluxes of momentum and energy (bulk formulas) Vertical diffusion
- □ Land-temperature calculated in simple model of 1-m soil layer
- Mixed-layer option

### Results from C20C ensembles : a) Sahel rain

(unpublished, but there is a lot of literature already)

Seasonal means of Sahel rainfall (15W to 37.5E, 12.5N to 17.5N) in JJAS: Standardized time series and regression patterns Corr(1yr) = 0.61Corr(11yr) = 0.94



### SST regression (K)









**SPEEDY** 





### Results from C20C ensembles: c) Extratropical climate trends

# 500 hPa height trend (1977/2001 – 1952/76) in:Re-analysisEns. MeanSingle exp.

GH 500-hPa Re-Analysis 1977/2001 - 1952/76



GH 500-hPa Ens. mean 1977/2001 - 1952/76



GH 500-hPa Ens. member 29 1977/2001 - 1952/76









### Results from C20C ensembles: d) Decadal NAO-western tropical Pacific interaction



HadISST 1870-2002 from Hadley Centre (Rayner et al. 2003)

### Decadal NAO index vs. tropical SST indices



West. Trop. Pacific gradient [12S - 4N] - [8N - 20N](cc = 0.82)

West. Trop. Pacific Southern box (cc = 0.52)

Indian Ocean box, 20N - 20S(cc = 0.43)

Niño 3.4 (cc = 0.36)







PC-2 vs. WTP SST gradient [12S - 4N] – [8N – 20N] cc = 0.78

a)

Bimodality of the North Atlantic Oscillation in simulations with greenhouse gas forcing (Coppola et al. GRL, 2005)

### **FVGCM** integrations

Finite Volume General Circulation Model (NASA FVGCM) time-slice Experiments within the PRUDENCE project

- Physical parameterizations from NCAR CCM3
- High resolution (1.25x1 degree, 18 levels) time-slice experiments
- 2 Ensemble members as control (1960-1990):
  different initial conditions;
- 2 Ensemble members for A2 scenario (2070-2100):
  different initial conditions
- All data (6 hourly output, daily diagnostics) stored (8 Tbite)
- Forcing SSTs from coupled HadCM3 model
- Additionally CO2 and aerosol forcing







Bimodal NAO related to a mean shift in 500 hPa height

The increase of height in the Pacific region projects onto the negative phase of PNA (Wallace and Gutzler).

Corti and Palmer (1997) showed that the NAO is more sensitive to baroclinic perturbation when the PNA index is negative.

# ii. 1.5-Tier approaches

2) Comparison of ENSO-Monsoon relationship in different experimental set-ups (within ENSEMBLES Project)

Using observed SST outside the Indian Ocean region, but

- a) SPEEDY-MICOM inside Indian Ocean/western Pacific region (1.5-tier)
- a) 1-d mixed-layer in Indian Ocean/western Pacific (1.5-tier), or
- a) Observed SST everywhere (2-tier)

# A) Results from coupling of MICOM in the Indian Ocean: ENSO-Monsoon teleconnection (Bracco et al., Clim. Dyn., 2006)

Along the lines of Kirtman, Kang.

### **Regression of NINO3** onto JJAS rain

Indian Monsoon rain: Mean rain in land-points of box: 70-95E, 10-30N

Corr(CRU, speedy glob) = 0.29Corr(CRU, speedy iomxI) = 0.50Corr(CRU, speedy\_iocoup) = 0.63

#### **Timeseries of IMR:** SPEEDY (IO coupled) vs CRU





#### 

### **SPEEDY** (forced glob)



#### **SPEEDY (IO mixed-layer)**

### **SPEEDY (IO coupled)**



# 925-hPa wind Divergence











### Lagged correlation between IMR (JJAS) and 4-month average NINO3 index for IO\_coup

Support for Goswami's Hypothesis the that IMR leading ENSO is do to phase locking of ENSO in autumn/Winter



**B)** Results from coupling of MICOM in the Indian Ocean: Change of **ENSO-Monsoon Teleconnection and** changes in the **Tropical Atlanitc** 



Diff Reg prec-NINO3 (ENS2) 75/99-50/74

c) Diff Reg SST-NIN03 75/99-50/74 209 120E 150E 180 150W 120W 1950-1974 1975-1999 Change 06 -04 -03 -0.69 -0.45 0.24



Change of ENSO-Monsoon relationship very similar in model and observation

-0.51

(Kucharski et al., J. Climate, subm.)

-0.79

CC(CRU,NINO3)

CC(ENSM,NINO3)

#### JJAS IMR and lagged 4-month mean NINO3 index



# B) Results from coupling of MICOM in the Indian Ocean: Change of ENSO-Monsoon Teleconnection and changes in the Tropical Atlanitc



Difference between ENS1 and ENS2



# B) Results from coupling of MICOM in the Indian Ocean: Change of ENSO-Monsoon Teleconnection and changes in the Tropical Atlanitc



### Conclusions

Globally SST-forced (2-tier) experiments can be useful to investigate many issues of climate variability (e.g. Sahel rain variability, decadal Indian monsoon Variability, extratropical flow changes)

➢Asian monsoon variability can be realistically modeled using a regional coupling strategy, i.e. coupling only in the Indian Ocean/western Pacific region. This may be Referred to as 1.5-tier approach.

Important statements Regarding interdecadal changes in the ENSO-monsoon Relationship are possible using the 1.5-tier approach.

### **C)** Demeter hindcast experiments:

SST outside Indian Ocean prescribed by DEMETER data (for MJJASO; 9member ensemble means) or HadISST (for NDJFMA), inside the Indian Ocean, a simple mixed-layer. Data from 3 centers (with longest integrations) compared with speedy results.

As before IMR defined over land points in region 70-95E, 10-30N. Correlations are evaluated over the period 1959-2000.

Demeter (9 member ens means)		SPEEDY re-run MXL (6 members each)	SPEEDY re-run GLOB (6 members, 2-tier)	NO-ATL (6 members)
Corr(R,CRU)				
ECMW	0.28	0.40		
METF	0.39	0.50	0.12	0.23
UKMO	0.33	0.49		
<b>Multim ENS</b>	0.41	0.49		

### **C)** Demeter hindcast experiments: NINO3 Regressions





### **Future plans:**

- Continue DEMETER hindcasts, hopefully with coupled model
- Looking into other regions, e.g. Amazon...
- Phd student working on application of bayesian method to Monsoon prediction, combining observed ENSO-Monsoon relationship with modeling results.

The SST-anomaly coupled model: surface fluxes over the ocean are computed from both corrected SST ( $\rightarrow$  SPEEDY) and uncorrected SST ( $\rightarrow$  MICOM)



The SST-anomaly coupled model: SST anomalies are defined w.r.t. the average MICOM SST in an initial spin-up period *t*\*

For  $t \le t^*$ : SST\* (t) = Clim +  $\alpha$  (t) (SST - {SST} <sub>t0, t-1</sub>)  $\alpha$  (t0) = 0,  $\alpha$  (t\*) = 1 For  $t > t^*$ : SST\* (t) = Clim + (SST - {SST} <sub>t0, t\*</sub>)

# Mean error of ocean model SST in fully-coupled vs. anomaly-coupled model (DJF):



### **Fully-coupled**



## ENSO in fully-coupled vs. anomaly-coupled model:

regression of ocean-model SST HadISST

Fully-coupled:  $\sigma$  (nino3.4) = 0.74

Anomaly-coupled:  $\sigma$  (nino3.4) = 1.4



### ENSO in fully-coupled vs. anomaly-coupled model:

### regression of precipitation and wind vs. Nino3.4 in DJF





# **Clim. Dynamics & Pred. : research topics**

### AGCM uncoupled simulation (SPEEDY 8 lev):

- Simulation of 20th century variability in 50-yr and 130-yr in ensembles forced by HadISST (1870-2002), in the context of the "Climate of the 20th Century" project [Kucharski, Molteni, Yoo, Bracco]
- 130-yr ensembles with prescribed SST in specific regions to investigate NAO decadal variability [Kucharski, Molteni, Bracco]
- Response to tropical SST anomalies in idealized geographical settings (e.g. aqua-planet, zero-topography) [King, Kucharski]
- Variability induced by land-sea thermal contrast in NH winter [Molteni, Kucharski]

### Results from C20C ensembles : a) Sahel rain

Seasonal means of Sahel rainfall (15W to 37.5E, 12.5N to 17.5N) in JJAS: Standardized time series and regression patterns Corr(1yr) = 0.61Corr(11yr) = 0.94





### Results from C20C ensembles: c) Extratropical climate trends

# 500 hPa height trend (1977/2001 – 1952/76) in:Re-analysisEns. MeanSingle exp.

GH 500-hPa Re-Analysis 1977/2001 - 1952/76



GH 500-hPa Ens. mean 1977/2001 - 1952/76



GH 500-hPa Ens. member 29 1977/2001 - 1952/76









### Results from C20C ensembles: d) Decadal NAO-western tropical Pacific interaction



HadISST 1870-2002 from Hadley Centre (Rayner et al. 2003)

### Decadal NAO index vs. tropical SST indices



## **SPEEDY "one-basin" ensembles, 1870-2002**

- Prescribed SST from HadISST dataset in : Western Tropical Pacific (WTP): 18 members Indian Ocean (IND) : 10 members
- 50-m slab ocean model driven by anomalous heat fluxes in the North Atlantic
- Climatological SST elsewhere

Diagnostics of forced decadal response:

 EOFs and PCs of ensemble mean/11-year run.mean fields of SLP ; PC regression maps

# EOFs/PCs of ens.mean 11-yr SLP (W.Trop.Pac.)



## 1st EOF/PC of ens.mean 11-yr SLP (WTP vs IND)





PC-1 WTP vs. WTP SST southern box [12S - 4N] cc = 0.85

PC-1 IND vs. Indian Oc. SST box [20S – 20N] cc = 0.88



### WTP PC-2

Hurrell NAO index

# **Computer resources**

- IBM Linux cluster based on 2.4 GHz Intel Xeon (2-proc. nodes): 1 master node, 2 storage nodes (~ 1TB), 12 computing nodes.
- 4 comp. servers based on dual-proc Intel Xeon (2.4 and 3.0 GHz)
- 4 comp. servers based on dual-proc AMD Opteron (64bit, 2.2 GHz)
- Internal data server (4-proc Sun V440 + EMC RAID storage, ~5 TB)
- External data server (2-proc Opteron + ~4 TB RAID system; ftp + GrADS DODS server)
- Computer resources on CINECA and ECMWF systems