# Quantum Gravity and Black Holes

Viqar Husain

March 30, 2007

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ



**Classical setting** 

Quantum theory

Gravitational collapse in quantum gravity

Summary/Outlook



### **Role of metrics**

In conventional theories the metric of spacetime g<sub>ab</sub> is not a dynamical variable – it is a fixed background structure.

# **Role of metrics**

- In conventional theories the metric of spacetime g<sub>ab</sub> is not a dynamical variable – it is a fixed background structure.
- It appears in the kinetic term (and propagator) of any theory:

$$S = \int dt q_{ab} \dot{x}^a \dot{x}^b$$

 $q^{ab}$  is the (usually invisible) Euclidean 3-metric in particle mechanics, and in

$$S=\int d^4x\sqrt{-g}g^{ab}\partial_a\phi\partial_b\phi$$

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

 $g^{ab}$  is usually the Minkowski metric.

# **Role of metrics**

- In conventional theories the metric of spacetime g<sub>ab</sub> is not a dynamical variable – it is a fixed background structure.
- It appears in the kinetic term (and propagator) of any theory:

$$S = \int dt q_{ab} \dot{x}^a \dot{x}^b$$

 $q^{ab}$  is the (usually invisible) Euclidean 3-metric in particle mechanics, and in

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} g^{ab} \partial_a \phi \partial_b \phi$$

 $g^{ab}$  is usually the Minkowski metric.

A fixed g<sub>ab</sub> and its symmetries (eg. Poincare invariance) are an essential part of standard quantization methods (– there is no Schrodinger eqn, QED, QCD, even string theory, without a fixed metric).

# General Relativity I

 General relativity and its extensions are theories with a dynamical metric.

 $G_{ab}(g) = 8\pi T_{ab}(\phi, g)$ 

There is no fixed background metric: metric and matter are solutions of coupled equations.

< □ > < 同 > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ < </p>

There are only two types of classical theories: background dependent and independent.

# General Relativity II

Numerous solutions of Einstein's equations are known – most are not physically interesting.

The most useful are the simplest



# General Relativity II

Numerous solutions of Einstein's equations are known – most are not physically interesting.

The most useful are the simplest

 Spherically symmetric vacuum solution: Schwarzschild black hole

$$ds^{2} = -\left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)dt^{2} + \left(1 - \frac{2M}{r}\right)^{-1}dr^{2} + r^{2}d\Omega^{2}$$

Stable and singular solutions that arise as the end point of gravitational collapse.

Have an event horizon at r = 2M: for r < 2M light cones tip toward the singularity.

Homogeneous and isotropic cosmology (FRW)

 $ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)(dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2)$ 

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

with a fluid matter source with energy density  $\rho(t)$ , pressure P(t), and equation of state  $P = k\rho$ .

Gravitational waves: one writes

 $g_{ab} = \eta_{ab} + h_{ab}$ 

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

with  $\eta_{ab}$  treated as a fixed background.  $h_{ab}$  satisfies the wave equation to linear order.

For special solutions like these there are preferred frames: the coordinate transformations that leave the metric form invariant.



**Classical setting** 

Quantum theory

Gravitational collapse in quantum gravity

Summary/Outlook



The conventional textbook quantization procedure is background (metric) dependent (regardless of the type of theory): How?

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

- The conventional textbook quantization procedure is background (metric) dependent (regardless of the type of theory): How?
- Wavefunctions ψ(x) are a special class of functions from 3-space (a manifold) to the complex numbers. Integration of functions requires a measure — provided by a metric.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

- The conventional textbook quantization procedure is background (metric) dependent (regardless of the type of theory): How?
- Wavefunctions ψ(x) are a special class of functions from 3-space (a manifold) to the complex numbers. Integration of functions requires a measure — provided by a metric.
- The inner product and matrix elements all depend on the Euclidean metric q<sub>ab</sub>

$$<\phi|\psi>=\int d^3x\sqrt{q}\phi^*\psi.$$

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

- The conventional textbook quantization procedure is background (metric) dependent (regardless of the type of theory): How?
- Wavefunctions ψ(x) are a special class of functions from 3-space (a manifold) to the complex numbers. Integration of functions requires a measure — provided by a metric.
- The inner product and matrix elements all depend on the Euclidean metric q<sub>ab</sub>

$$<\phi|\psi>=\int d^3x\sqrt{q}\phi^*\psi.$$

In quantum field theory it is the Minkowski metric.

We know matter is quantum. So how does quantum matter behave on arbitrary backgrounds? Replace Einstein's equation by

$$G_{ab}(g)=8\pi G\langle\psi|\hat{T}_{ab}(g,\hat{\phi})|\psi
angle$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

We know matter is quantum. So how does quantum matter behave on arbitrary backgrounds? Replace Einstein's equation by

$$G_{ab}(g)=8\pi G\langle\psi|\hat{T}_{ab}(g,\hat{\phi})|\psi
angle$$

< □ > < 同 > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ < </p>

Combines  ${\it G}, {\it c}\hbar.$  Given a state  $|\psi
angle$ , it is an equation for a

semiclassical metric  $g_{ab}$ .

We know matter is quantum. So how does quantum matter behave on arbitrary backgrounds? Replace Einstein's equation by

$$G_{ab}(g)=8\pi G\langle\psi|\hat{T}_{ab}(g,\hat{\phi})|\psi
angle$$

Combines  $G, c\hbar$ . Given a state  $|\psi\rangle$ , it is an equation for a

semiclassical metric  $g_{ab}$ .

► How is |ψ⟩ chosen? What is g if it is a linear combination of squeezed? etc.

< □ > < 同 > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ < </p>

We know matter is quantum. So how does quantum matter behave on arbitrary backgrounds? Replace Einstein's equation by

$$G_{ab}(g)=8\pi G\langle\psi|\hat{T}_{ab}(g,\hat{\phi})|\psi
angle$$

Combines  $G, c\hbar$ . Given a state  $|\psi\rangle$ , it is an equation for a

semiclassical metric  $g_{ab}$ .

- How is |\u03c6\u03c6 chosen? What is g if it is a linear combination of squeezed? etc.
- Is it consistent? The r.h.s might require regularization and renormalization.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

This approximation is used in two famous settings with the state chosen as a vacuum.

This approximation is used in two famous settings with the state chosen as a vacuum.

(ロ)、

Hawking radiation: Schwarzschild metric

This approximation is used in two famous settings with the state chosen as a vacuum.

- Hawking radiation: Schwarzschild metric
- Structure formation in cosmology: FRW metric

The cosmological constant problem arises in this approximation: if  $|\psi\rangle$  is a vacuum state  $\langle \hat{T}_{ab} \rangle$  is ultraviolet divergent.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

### A metaphor

To see how odd this approximation can be consider this "inverse" problem. Pick a quantum state  $\psi(\vec{x})$  and an energy E, and "solve" the Schrodinger equation

$$H\psi = -\hbar^2 q^{ab} \partial_a \partial_b \psi = E\psi$$

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

for possible metrics  $q_{ab}$ .

A consistent theoretical scheme that uses the "fundamental constants"  $\hbar$ , *c* and  $G_N$  — OR one that derives them from more basic considerations.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

It must have at least the following features:

A consistent theoretical scheme that uses the "fundamental constants"  $\hbar$ , *c* and  $G_N$  —- OR one that derives them from more basic considerations.

It must have at least the following features:

 Classical singularities are resolved (like QM does for the atom)

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

A consistent theoretical scheme that uses the "fundamental constants"  $\hbar$ , *c* and  $G_N$  — OR one that derives them from more basic considerations.

It must have at least the following features:

 Classical singularities are resolved (like QM does for the atom)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

► The metric "emerges" as a macroscopic observable:  $g_{ab}^{classical} = \langle \psi | \hat{\Theta}_a \hat{\Theta}_b | \psi \rangle$  but what is  $|\psi\rangle$ 

A consistent theoretical scheme that uses the "fundamental constants"  $\hbar$ , *c* and  $G_N$  — OR one that derives them from more basic considerations.

It must have at least the following features:

 Classical singularities are resolved (like QM does for the atom)

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

- ► The metric "emerges" as a macroscopic observable:  $g_{ab}^{classical} = \langle \psi | \hat{\Theta}_a \hat{\Theta}_b | \psi \rangle$  but what is  $|\psi\rangle$
- It satisfies Einstein's equations

A consistent theoretical scheme that uses the "fundamental constants"  $\hbar$ , *c* and  $G_N$  — OR one that derives them from more basic considerations.

It must have at least the following features:

- Classical singularities are resolved (like QM does for the atom)
- ► The metric "emerges" as a macroscopic observable:  $g_{ab}^{classical} = \langle \psi | \hat{\Theta}_a \hat{\Theta}_b | \psi \rangle$  but what is  $|\psi\rangle$
- It satisfies Einstein's equations

#### NO SUCH THEORY IS KNOWN

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

### Approaches to quantum gravity

The metric is a fundamental field and should be quantized: quantize GR with matter.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

### Approaches to quantum gravity

- The metric is a fundamental field and should be quantized: quantize GR with matter.
- The metric is a low energy collective state of some other fundamental theory.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

### Approaches to quantum gravity

- The metric is a fundamental field and should be quantized: quantize GR with matter.
- The metric is a low energy collective state of some other fundamental theory.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

The rest of the talk is concerned with the first approach.

### Background independent quantization

Since the metric is a dynamical variable, quantization should not use a fixed spacetime metric. Not as exotic as it sounds. Any quantum mechanics problem posed as a matrix model is background independent. Examples:

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

### Background independent quantization

Since the metric is a dynamical variable, quantization should not use a fixed spacetime metric. Not as exotic as it sounds. Any quantum mechanics problem posed as a matrix model is background independent. Examples:

Oscillator quantized algebraically using a, a<sup>†</sup>. (An application to other geometric (topological)theories (VH, PRL 96 221303(2006))).

Any spin system on a lattice: finite dimensional Hilbert space associated with points.

### Background independent quantization

Since the metric is a dynamical variable, quantization should not use a fixed spacetime metric. Not as exotic as it sounds. Any quantum mechanics problem posed as a matrix model is background independent. Examples:

- Oscillator quantized algebraically using a, a<sup>†</sup>. (An application to other geometric (topological)theories (VH, PRL 96 221303(2006))).
- Any spin system on a lattice: finite dimensional Hilbert space associated with points.

This suggests how to quantize a particle without a background: associate a one dimensional Hilbert space at each point.

### A particle as a matrix model

Lattice 
$$x_n = n\lambda$$
,  $(n = \cdots - 1, 01, \cdots)$ .

• Hilbert space  $|k\rangle$ 

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}|\mathbf{k}\rangle = \lambda \mathbf{k}|\mathbf{k}\rangle$$

• 
$$e^{i\lambda p}|k\rangle >= |k+1\rangle$$
 (hopping operator)

This is a scale ( $\lambda$ ) dependent quantization of the particle. Kinetic energy operator is written using  $\hat{T}_{\lambda} = \widehat{e^{i\lambda\rho}}$ .

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Hydrogen atom: Is there a 1/x operator?

$$\frac{1}{|x|} = \left(2\frac{1}{i\lambda}T^*\{T,\sqrt{|x|}\}\right)^2$$

Thiemann (1996)

- Spectrum of 1/x operator is bounded.
- ► Hydrogen atom spectrum is reproduced for λ << Bohr radius

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Hydrogen atom: Is there a 1/x operator?

$$\frac{1}{|x|} = \left(2\frac{1}{i\lambda}T^*\{T,\sqrt{|x|}\}\right)^2$$

Thiemann (1996)

- Spectrum of 1/x operator is bounded.
- Hydrogen atom spectrum is reproduced for \u03c6 << Bohr radius
- Trick used in cosmology to get bounded inverse scale factor (Bojowald (2001))

< □ > < 同 > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ < </p>

Hydrogen atom: Is there a 1/x operator?

$$\frac{1}{|x|} = \left(2\frac{1}{i\lambda}T^*\{T,\sqrt{|x|}\}\right)^2$$

Thiemann (1996)

- Spectrum of 1/x operator is bounded.
- ► Hydrogen atom spectrum is reproduced for λ << Bohr radius
- Trick used in cosmology to get bounded inverse scale factor (Bojowald (2001))
- Can be used to get a quantum gravity corrected wave equation: replace scale factor by its expectation value in some state.



**Classical setting** 

Quantum theory

Gravitational collapse in quantum gravity

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Summary/Outlook

#### The problem

Find the quantum theory of the gravity + scalar field in spherical symmetry: Fields  $g_{ab}(r, t)$  and  $\phi(r, t)$ 

Metric:

$$ds^2 = -f(r,t)dt^2 + g(r,t)dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

#### The problem

Find the quantum theory of the gravity + scalar field in spherical symmetry: Fields  $g_{ab}(r, t)$  and  $\phi(r, t)$ 

Metric:

$$ds^2 = -f(r,t)dt^2 + g(r,t)dr^2 + r^2 d\Omega^2$$

- Put system in Hamiltonian form (ADM variables for GR)
- Fix a time gauge condition

Resulting theory has two fields and their conjugate momenta. There is a constraint due to residual gauge symmetry (like Gauss law in EM). Evolution via Hamilton's equation

(VH, O. Winkler Phys.Rev. D71 (2005) 104001)

#### Background independent quantization

 Introduce a radial lattice. Field variables have values at discrete points

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

## Background independent quantization

 Introduce a radial lattice. Field variables have values at discrete points

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Define action of basic operators

## Background independent quantization

 Introduce a radial lattice. Field variables have values at discrete points

< □ > < 同 > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ > < Ξ < </p>

- Define action of basic operators
- Construct Hamiltonian and constraint operators
- Operators for trapped surface detection

What is a black hole in quantum gravity?

The event horizon is a global classical entity: "The boundary of the past of future null infinity"

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

## What is a black hole in quantum gravity?

- The event horizon is a global classical entity: "The boundary of the past of future null infinity"
- Not useful for local physics even classically: How would you determine if you walk into a black hole? Need a local test.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Penrose's idea of trapped surfaces: consider closed two surfaces S in space, and ask what happens if the surface lights up:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Penrose's idea of trapped surfaces: consider closed two surfaces S in space, and ask what happens if the surface lights up:

 There is normally an outgoing and ingoing spherical wave surface.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

Penrose's idea of trapped surfaces: consider closed two surfaces S in space, and ask what happens if the surface lights up:

- There is normally an outgoing and ingoing spherical wave surface.
- If the surface is in a gravity region, outgoing wave surface is redshifted (but it is still outgoing).

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Penrose's idea of trapped surfaces: consider closed two surfaces S in space, and ask what happens if the surface lights up:

- There is normally an outgoing and ingoing spherical wave surface.
- If the surface is in a gravity region, outgoing wave surface is redshifted (but it is still outgoing).
- The surface is trapped if the outgoing wave surface does not expand.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

Penrose's idea of trapped surfaces: consider closed two surfaces S in space, and ask what happens if the surface lights up:

- There is normally an outgoing and ingoing spherical wave surface.
- If the surface is in a gravity region, outgoing wave surface is redshifted (but it is still outgoing).
- The surface is trapped if the outgoing wave surface does not expand.

Calculate divergence of outward and inward pointing null vectors  $l_{\pm}^{a}$  at the surface:

 $\theta_{\pm}^{S}(\mathbf{r},t) = \nabla_{a} l_{\pm}^{a}|_{S}$ 

Penrose's idea of trapped surfaces: consider closed two surfaces S in space, and ask what happens if the surface lights up:

- There is normally an outgoing and ingoing spherical wave surface.
- If the surface is in a gravity region, outgoing wave surface is redshifted (but it is still outgoing).
- The surface is trapped if the outgoing wave surface does not expand.

Calculate divergence of outward and inward pointing null vectors  $l_{\pm}^{a}$  at the surface:

 $\theta_{\pm}^{S}(\mathbf{r},t) = \nabla_{a} l_{\pm}^{a}|_{S}$ 

• *S* is not trapped :  $\theta_{-}^{S} < 0$  and  $\theta_{+}^{S} > 0$ .

• *S* is not trapped :  $\theta_{-}^{S} < 0$  and  $\theta_{+}^{S} > 0$ .

• *S* is trapped:  $\theta_+^S < 0$  and  $\theta_+^S < 0$ .

- *S* is not trapped :  $\theta_{-}^{S} < 0$  and  $\theta_{+}^{S} > 0$ .
- *S* is trapped:  $\theta_+^S < 0$  and  $\theta_+^S < 0$ .
- Space can be divided up into domains containing trapped and untrapped surfaces.

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

#### Quantum gravity test of light trapping

•  $\theta_{\pm}(g,\phi, P_g, P_{\phi})$  is a phase space variable

# Quantum gravity test of light trapping

- $\theta_{\pm}(g,\phi,P_g,P_{\phi})$  is a phase space variable
- Construct the corresponding operator in a background independent quantization.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

# Quantum gravity test of light trapping

- $\theta_{\pm}(g,\phi, P_g, P_{\phi})$  is a phase space variable
- Construct the corresponding operator in a background independent quantization.
- A state is a quantum black hole if for all r

 $\langle \hat{\theta}_{-}(r,t) \rangle < 0,$ 

and

 $\langle \hat{ heta}_+(r,t) \rangle < 0$ 

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

for  $r < r^*$  for some  $r^*$ .

(VH, O. Winkler Class.Quant.Grav. 22 (2005) L135 )

# Gravitational collapse in quantum gravity

A complete regulated theory is ready for calculation. Being implemented numerically.

Pick initial state

Evolve with Hamiltonian: singularity free (VH, O. Winkler, Class. Quant. Grav. 22 (2005) L127 )

< □ > < 同 > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < ○ < ○ </p>

- Test state for trapping at each time step
- Ensure constraint satisfied at each time step



**Classical setting** 

Quantum theory

Gravitational collapse in quantum gravity

Summary/Outlook



Bounded curvature implies a maximum energy density:

#### Bounded curvature implies a maximum energy density: the ultimate Fermi pressure!

Bounded curvature implies a maximum energy density: the ultimate Fermi pressure!

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

No black hole explosions: a maximum temperature

- Bounded curvature implies a maximum energy density: the ultimate Fermi pressure!
- No black hole explosions: a maximum temperature
- End point of Hawking radiation is a Planck scale remnant: may be around at the LHC.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

- Bounded curvature implies a maximum energy density: the ultimate Fermi pressure!
- No black hole explosions: a maximum temperature
- End point of Hawking radiation is a Planck scale remnant: may be around at the LHC.
- A complete set up to do gravitational collapse calculations in quantum gravity.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

- Bounded curvature implies a maximum energy density: the ultimate Fermi pressure!
- No black hole explosions: a maximum temperature
- End point of Hawking radiation is a Planck scale remnant: may be around at the LHC.
- A complete set up to do gravitational collapse calculations in quantum gravity.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

A similar scheme is being developed to study quantum gravity in the early universe.

- Bounded curvature implies a maximum energy density: the ultimate Fermi pressure!
- No black hole explosions: a maximum temperature
- End point of Hawking radiation is a Planck scale remnant: may be around at the LHC.
- A complete set up to do gravitational collapse calculations in quantum gravity.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

- A similar scheme is being developed to study quantum gravity in the early universe.
- How does the semiclassical approximation arise?